



HM Government

Better Care Fund 2025-26 Q2 Reporting Template

2. Cover

Version 1.0 [unlocked]

Please Note:

- The BCF quarterly reports are categorised as 'Management Information' and data from them will be published in an aggregated form on the NHSE website. This will include any narrative section. Also a reminder that as is usually the case with public body information, all BCF information collected here is subject to Freedom of Information requests.

- At a local level it is for the HWB to decide what information it needs to publish as part of wider local government reporting and transparency requirements. Until BCF information is published, recipients of BCF reporting information (including recipients who access any information placed on the BCE) are prohibited from making this information available on any public domain or providing this information for the purposes of journalism or research without prior consent from the HWB (where it concerns a single HWB) or the BCF national partners for the aggregated information.

- All information will be supplied to BCF partners to inform policy development.

- This template is password protected to ensure data integrity and accurate aggregation of collected information. A resubmission may be required if this is breached.

Health and Wellbeing Board:	City of London	
Completed by:	Ellie Ward	
E-mail:	ellie.ward@cityoflondon.gov.uk	
Contact number:	020 7332 1535	
Has this report been signed off by (or on behalf of) the HWB Chair at the time of submission?	No	
If no, please indicate when the report is expected to be signed off:	Fri 23/01/2026	<< Please enter using the format, DD/MM/YYYY

Checklist

Complete:

Yes

Question Completion - when all questions have been answered and the validation boxes below have turned green you should send the template to england.bettercarefundteam@nhs.net saving the file as 'Name HWB' for example 'County Durham HWB'.

Complete

	Complete:
2. Cover	Yes
3. National Conditions	Yes
4. Metrics	Yes
5. Expenditure	Yes

For further guidance on requirements
please refer back to guidance sheet - tab
1.

[<< Link to the Guidance sheet](#)

[^^ Link back to top](#)

Better Care Fund 2025-26 Q2 Reporting Template

3. National Conditions

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:

City of London

Confirmation of Nation Conditions

National Condition	Confirmation	If the answer is "No" please provide an explanation as to why the condition was not met in the quarter and mitigating actions underway to support compliance with the condition:
1) Plans to be jointly agreed	Yes	
2) Implementing the objectives of the BCF	Yes	
3) Complying with grant and funding conditions, including maintaining the NHS minimum contribution to adult social care (ASC) and Section 75 in place	Yes	
4) Complying with oversight and support processes	Yes	

Checklist
Complete:

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Better Care Fund 2025-26 Q2 Reporting Template

4. Metrics for 2025-26

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:

City of London

For metrics time series and more details:

[BCF dashboard link](#)

For metrics handbook and reporting schedule:

[BCF 25/26 Metrics Handbook](#)

4.1 Emergency admissions

Plan	Rate	Apr 25	May 25	Jun 25	Jul 25	Aug 25	Sep 25	Oct 25	Nov 25	Dec 25	Jan 26	Feb 26	Mar 26
		Plan											
Emergency admissions to hospital for people aged 65+ per 100,000 population	Rate	1,076.0	1,076.0	1,434.7	1,076.0	717.4	1,793.4	1,434.7	1,434.7	1,076.0	1,076.0	717.4	1,076.0
	Number of Admissions 65+	15	15	20	15	10	25	20	20	15	15	10	15
	Population of 65+	1,394.0	1,394.0	1,394.0	1,394.0	1,394.0	1,394.0	1,394.0	1,394.0	1,394.0	1,394.0	1,394.0	1,394.0

Assessment of whether goal has been met in Q2:	On track to meet goal	Yes
If a goal has not been met please provide a short explanation, including noting any key mitigating actions.	Data is available for April-July. The 4-month average is 1161.5 against a plan average of 1165.7	
You can also use this box to provide a very brief explanation of overall progress if you wish.		

Did you use local data to assess against this headline metric?	No
--	----

Checklist

Complete:

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

If yes, which local data sources are being used?	
--	--

4.2 Discharge Delays

Original Plan	Apr 25 Plan	May 25 Plan	Jun 25 Plan	Jul 25 Plan	Aug 25 Plan	Sep 25 Plan	Oct 25 Plan	Nov 25 Plan	Dec 25 Plan	Jan 26 Plan	Feb 26 Plan	Mar 26 Plan
Average length of discharge delay for all acute adult patients (this calculates the % of patients discharged after their DRD, multiplied by the average number of days)	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84
Proportion of adult patients discharged from acute hospitals on their discharge ready date	86.00%	86.00%	86.00%	86.00%	86.00%	86.00%	86.00%	86.00%	86.00%	86.00%	86.00%	86.00%
For those adult patients not discharged on DRD, average number of days from DRD to discharge	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6

Assessment of whether goal has been met in Q2:	Not on track to meet goal	Yes
If a goal has not been met please provide a short explanation, including noting any key mitigating actions.	From April to August the proportion of adult patients from acute hospitals discharged on their ready discharge date is 82% - this is slightly below the 86% target. The average number of days from DRD to discharge, excluding 0 day delays was 4.67 days. This is an improvement upon a plan of 6 days. A small number of delays and a few outliers significantly impacts on the data. Patient and family choice and equipment are top reasons for delays. Commissioners will be considering whether there are any mitigations to manage patient and family choice.	Yes
You can also use this box to provide a very brief explanation of overall progress if you wish.	N/A	Yes

Did you use local data to assess against this headline metric?	Yes
--	-----

Yes

If yes, which local data sources are being used?	MOSAIC. We reviewed national and local data sources.
--	--

4.3 Residential Admissions

Actuals + Original Plan		2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2025-26	2025-26	2025-26
		Full Year Actual	CLD Actual	Plan Q1 (April 25-June 25)	Plan Q2 (July 25-Sept 25)	Plan Q3 (Oct 25-Dec 25)	Plan Q4 (Jan 26-Mar 26)
Long-term support needs of older people (age 65 and over) met by admission to residential and nursing care homes, per 100,000 population	Rate	215.2	717.4	143.5	143.5	286.9	286.9
	Number of admissions	3.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	4.0
	Population of 65+*	1394.0	1394.0	1394.0	1394.0	1394.0	1394.0

Assessment of whether goal has been met in Q2:	On track to meet goal	Yes
If a goal has not been met please provide a short explanation, including noting any key mitigating actions.		Yes
You can also use this box to provide a very brief explanation of overall progress if you wish.	Met Target	Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Did you use local data to assess against this headline metric?	Yes
If yes, which local data sources are being used?	MOSAIC

Yes

Better Care Fund 2025-26 Q2 Reporting Template

5. Income & Expenditure

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:

City of London

Checklist

Complete:

Source of Funding	2025-26		
	Planned Income	Updated Total Plan Income for 25-26	DFG Q2 Year-to-Date Actual Expenditure
DFG	£46,024	£46,024	£33,055
Minimum NHS Contribution	£960,444	£960,444	
Local Authority Better Care Grant	£399,287	£399,287	
Additional LA Contribution	£100,000	£100,000	
Additional NHS Contribution	£0	£0	
Total	£1,505,755	£1,505,755	

Planned Expenditure	Original	Updated	% variance
	£1,505,755	£1,505,755	0%

Q2 Year-to-Date Actual Expenditure	% of Planned Income	
	£752,878	50%

If Q2 year to date actual expenditure is exactly 50% of planned expenditure, please confirm this is accurate or if there are limitations with tracking expenditure.	There are transaction movements required for us to track actuals in some project areas. We forecast to spend in full.
---	---

If planned expenditure by activity has changed since the original plan, please confirm that this has been agreed by local partners. If that change in activity expenditure is	No change
---	-----------

greater than 5% of total BCF expenditure, please use this box to provide a brief summary of the change.

